

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed December 29, 2006, the Examiner noted that claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 were pending, and rejected claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19. Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 have been amended, claim 21 has been added, no claims have been canceled, and, thus, in view of the forgoing claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, and 21 are pending. No new matter has been added. The Examiner's rejections are traversed below.

REJECTIONS under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1, 7, 13, 16 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for reciting the limitation "registered contents" without correct antecedent basis. The claims have been amended to clear up the antecedent basis issue.

Claims 1, 7 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 7 and 13 have been amended to remove the conditional statement. Amended claims 1, 7 and 13 recite "a predetermined rule to convert an original link destination into a format in which said contents conversion server is set as an access destination and information concerning said original link destination is included."

Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

REJECTIONS under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Ndili U.S Patent No. 6,950,881. Ndili is a system that converts the contents of a network site in a first language to the second language of a mobile device.

On page 9 in the Response to Arguments, the Examiner states:

Ndili discloses a system for exchanging communications between a mobile device and an conversion engine, (access destination) ere a mobile device **sends an access request information** to a conversion engine to **access a network site**. [Emphasis added]

Ndili as cited only discusses having a network site used as an access destination, not the contents conversion server. The Examiner's own response quoted above appears to admit this, wherein it states the "**access request information**" (access destination) is sent to the conversion engine as to get content from the "**network site**" (the access destination). Ndili discusses the network site as the access not the contents conversion server. Therefore, Ndili

does not teach or suggest “access destination information is interpreted to represent only said contents conversion server as an access destination,” as in amended claims 1, 7 and 13.

Further, Ndili does not teach or suggest “a contents providing server registered in said contents conversion server in advance,” as in amended claims 1, 7, 13 and 19. Nothing in Ndili discusses a content provider server that is registered in advance in the contents conversion server. See page 7 lines 3-8 of the application. Therefore nothing in Ndili teaches or suggest “if said access destination information is interpreted to represent only said contents conversion server as an access destination, transmitting, to said certain user terminal, information including link information causing access via said contents conversion server to a contents providing server registered in said contents conversion server in advance,” as in amended claim 1.

For at least the reasons stated above, Ndili is patentably distinguishable from claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 and the claims dependent therefrom.

As to dependent claim 4, nothing in Ndili teaches or suggests “if said access destination information is interpreted to represent only said contents conversion server as an access destination and a search condition for the registered contents providing servers is received, searching said registered contents providing servers matching with said search condition, and transmitting, to said certain user terminal, information including link information causing access via said contents conversion server to said registered contents providing servers matching with said search condition.”

Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

NEW CLAIM

Claim 21 is new. Support for claim 21 found on pages 13, lines 16-19 and page 12 lines 9-19 of the application. Nothing in the prior art teach or suggest the contents providing server is registered in the contents conversion server in advance.

SUMMARY

It is submitted that claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19 and 21 are therefore in a condition suitable for allowance. An early Notice of Allowance is requested.

If any further fees, other than and except for the issue fee, are necessary with respect to this paper, the U.S.P.T.O. is requested to obtain the same from deposit account number 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: /March 29, 2007/

By: /James J. Livingston/
James J. Livingston
Registration No. 55,394

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501